

Now, we made the connection between spin of a state and its representation of the proper orthochr. Lorentz group.  
 E.g.: to describe spin  $j=5/2$  we need e.g.  $a=2$ ,  $b=1/2$ .  
 The corresp. state will have components that describe spin  $3/2 (=|a-b|)$  and spin  $5/2 (=a+b)$ .

The basic building blocks to construct any repres. for spin  $j$  states are the spin- $1/2$  repres.

To understand this statement better, let's look at the  $su(2)$  spin algebra.

Intermezzo: Representations of  $SU(2)$

Lie algebra  $su(2)$  of  $SU(2)$ :  $[s_i, s_j] = i\epsilon^{ijk} s_k$

e.g. 2-dim. repr.:  $s_i = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$  acting on  $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{pmatrix}$   
 3-dim. repr.:  $s_i = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$  acting on  $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{pmatrix}$

From QM we remember that 2-dim. corresp. to spin- $1/2$  with  $m=\pm 1/2$  and 3-dim. corresp. to spin-1 with  $m=+1, 0, -1$ . These states are often written as  $|j, m\rangle$ . The dim. of the repr. is the size of  $\{m\} = \{-j, \dots, +j\}$ .

Q: How do we explicitly construct the  $s_i$  matrices for a given spin?

$\Rightarrow$  There's a recipe! (The recipe doesn't involve using  $\hat{S}^2$  or the property that  $[\hat{S}^2, s_i] = 0$  in contrast to typical QM derivations.)

Step ①: None of the three  $s_i$  commute with another. But one can find a unitary  $U^\dagger U = 1$  that transforms  $\Psi' = U\Psi$ ,  $s'_i = U^\dagger s_i U$  such that one of the  $s_i$  becomes diagonal. Choose:  $s_3$ .

Step ②: Pick the states  $|s, m\rangle$  that are eigenstates to the diagonal  $s_3$ .

Step ③: Define ladder operators  $s_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s_1 \pm i s_2)$  satisfying  
 $[s_3, s_{\pm}] = \pm s_{\pm}$  and  $[s_+, s_-] = s_3$ .

Since  $s_3 |s, m\rangle = m |s, m\rangle$ ,  
 $s_3 (s_{\pm} |s, m\rangle) = s_{\pm} s_3 |s, m\rangle \pm s_{\pm} |s, m\rangle = (m \pm 1) s_{\pm} |s, m\rangle$   
 $\stackrel{cf. s_3}{\Rightarrow} s_{\pm} |s, m\rangle \sim |s, m \pm 1\rangle \Leftrightarrow s_{\pm} |s, m\rangle = W_{\pm} |s, m \pm 1\rangle$

with  $W_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(s \mp m)(s \pm m)}$ ,  $m \in \{-s, \dots, s\}$ ,  $\langle s, m | s, m' \rangle = \delta_{mm'}$ .

Step ④: Now, we can construct the actual matrix elements of the  $(s_i)$ :

$$(s_i)_{mm'} = \langle s, m' | s_i | s, m \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}_{mm'}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle s, m' | s_3 | s, m \rangle = m \delta_{mm'}$$

$$\langle s, m' | s_1 | s, m \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle s, m' | s_+ + s_- | s, m \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sqrt{+} \delta_{m'(m+1)} + \sqrt{-} \delta_{m'(m-1)})$$

$$\langle s, m' | s_2 | s, m \rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \langle s, m' | s_- - s_+ | s, m \rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\sqrt{-} \delta_{m'(m-1)} - \sqrt{+} \delta_{m'(m+1)})$$

Done!

Reduction of Direct Product Representations

recap:  
 a.) **Direct sum:**  $A \oplus B = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \text{diag}(A, B)$   
 $(\dots) \oplus (\dots) = \begin{pmatrix} (\dots) \\ (\dots) \end{pmatrix}$

If an arbitr. matrix can be written as  $M = \text{diag}(M_1, \dots, M_n)$  then it is reducible.  
 Otherwise it is irreducible.

b.) **Direct product:**  $A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & \dots & a_{1n}B \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & \dots & a_{mn}B \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{if reduc.}} C \oplus \dots \oplus C_m$   
 $(\dots) \otimes (\dots) = \begin{pmatrix} (\dots) & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & (\dots) \end{pmatrix}$  (some unitary transformation)

if reduc.:  $U^\dagger(A \otimes B)U = C \oplus \dots \oplus C_m$

Examples: a.)  $s = 1/2 \Rightarrow m \in \{-1/2, +1/2\} \Rightarrow 2\text{-dim.}$

$N_+(m=-1/2) = N_-(m=+1/2) = 1, N_+(m=+1/2) = N_-(m=-1/2) = 0$

$(S_1) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta_{m', m-1} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$(S_2) = \left( \frac{i}{2} \delta_{m', m-1} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i/2 \\ i/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$(S_3) = (m \delta_{m', m}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & +1/2 \end{pmatrix}$  note:  $\vec{s} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma}$

b.)  $s = +1 \Rightarrow m \in \{-1, 0, +1\} \Rightarrow 3\text{-dim.} (\dots)$

$(S_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (S_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (S_3) = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

End of Intermezzo

To write direct products  $A \otimes B$  of  $su(2)$  representations in terms of direct sums, we find the transforming unitary matrices  $U$  by applying the regular rules for adding angular momentum. The matrix elements of  $U$  are the well known Clebsch-Gordan coeff.:

$|J, M\rangle = \sum_{m_1, m_2} \underbrace{\langle j_1, j_2, m_1, m_2 | J, M, j_1, j_2 \rangle}_{U} |j_1, m_1\rangle \otimes |j_2, m_2\rangle$

Hence:  $D_{(\text{spin } s_1)} \otimes D_{(\text{spin } s_2)} = \bigoplus_{s=|s_1-s_2|}^{s_1+s_2} D_{(\text{spin } s)}$

example:  $D_{(\text{spin } 3/2)} \otimes D_{(\text{spin } 1)} = D_{(\text{spin } 1/2)} \oplus D_{(\text{spin } 3/2)} \oplus D_{(\text{spin } 5/2)}$   
 $\begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \times 12 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \times 2 & & \\ & 4 \times 4 & \\ & & 6 \times 6 \end{pmatrix}$

d.o.f. of states: 4 d.o.f. x 3 d.o.f. = 12 d.o.f. = 2 + 4 + 6 d.o.f.

Now, we have all necessary ingredients:

We can explicitly construct spin  $j$  representations of  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B}$ . And we can use the direct product to obtain higher spin repr. from lower spin repres.

- $D_{(0,0)}$ :  $a=b=0$  represents spin  $j=0$  states with 1-dim. repres. with  $\vec{A}=\vec{B}=0 \Rightarrow D_{(0,0)}=1 \Rightarrow \phi^l=\phi$
- $D_{(1/2,0)}$ : gives spin  $j=1/2$  states with 2-dim. repres.  $\vec{A}=\frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}$  (see p.17),  $\vec{B}=0 \Rightarrow D_{(1/2,0)} = \exp(+\frac{i}{2}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\sigma})$   
 $\chi_i = 2$  component left-handed Weyl spinor and anti-spinor.
- $D_{(0,1/2)}$ : same as above for right-handed Weyl spinor

- $D_{(1/2,0)} \oplus D_{(0,1/2)}$ : combination of 2 comp. LH+RH Weyl spinors  $\Rightarrow$  4 dim. repr.  $\Rightarrow$  Dirac spinor  $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix}$   
4 d.o.f. for  $m=\pm 1/2$  for particle and anti-particle.
- $D_{(1/2,1/2)}$ :  $a=b=1/2$  gives states with spin  $j=0,1$   
"  $\exp(\frac{i}{2}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\sigma}) \otimes \exp(\frac{i}{2}\vec{\beta}\cdot\vec{\sigma}) = \begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$  4-dim. represent.  
 $\begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4 \end{matrix} \Rightarrow$  states are 4-vectors  $A^\mu$ .
- $\bigotimes_{n=1}^N D_{(1/2,1/2)} = \bigoplus_{k+k'=0}^{N/2} D_{(k,k)}$  gives states that transform like  $N$  4-vectors  $\Rightarrow$  rank  $N$  tensor  
spin  $j=k+k'$  is always integer

states with  $j = \text{half integer}$  are obtained from  $\bigotimes_{n=1}^N D_{(1/2,1/2)} \otimes (D_{(1/2,0)} \oplus D_{(0,1/2)})$  i.e. tensor  $\otimes$  Dirac spinor  
e.g.  $j=3/2$ :  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes ((\frac{1}{2}, 0) \oplus (0, \frac{1}{2})) = (0, \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (1, \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$   
the corresp. state is a spinor-4-vector  $\psi^r = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^r \\ \psi_2^r \\ \psi_3^r \\ \psi_4^r \end{pmatrix}$ .

Note: So far we only considered proper orthochr. Lorentz group  $SO(3,1)^+$ . In general transf. there is an element  $P: \vec{x} \rightarrow -\vec{x}$  with  

$$\begin{matrix} P \vec{J} P^{-1} = \vec{J} & \leftrightarrow & P \vec{A} P^{-1} = \vec{B} \\ P \vec{K} P^{-1} = -\vec{K} & & P \vec{B} P^{-1} = \vec{A} \end{matrix}$$
Hence, a repr.  $D_{(a,b)}$  of  $SO(3,1)^+$  is not a repr. of the general Lorentz group.

- $\Rightarrow$  We need to use  $D_{(a,b)} \oplus D_{(b,a)}$  if  $a \neq b!$
- One example is  $D_{(1/2,0)} \oplus D_{(0,1/2)}$  which we encountered already for Dirac spinors
- Another example:  $D_{(1,0)} \oplus D_{(0,1)} \Rightarrow$  spin  $j=1$   
 $\begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \dots \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$  6 d.o.f.  
transforms a rank 2 tensor but only 6 d.o.f.  
 $\Rightarrow$  anti-symm. tensor  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$
- let's go back to general rank 2 tensors:  $D_{(1/2,1/2)} \otimes D_{(1/2,1/2)} = D_{(1,1)} \oplus D_{(0,1)} \oplus D_{(1,0)} \oplus D_{(0,0)}$   

$$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{4 \times 4 \text{ d.o.f.}} = \underbrace{\hspace{5em}}_{3 \times 3 \text{ d.o.f.}} \oplus \underbrace{\hspace{5em}}_{6 \text{ d.o.f.}} \oplus \underbrace{\hspace{5em}}_{1 \text{ d.o.f.}}$$
 $\Rightarrow D_{(1,1)}$  transforms a spin  $j=2$  state with 9 d.o.f. = symmetric traceless tensor

## II. 3. Physical d.o.f

25

1.) Dirac spinors  $D_{(1/2,0)} \oplus D_{(0,1/2)}$  with  $\Psi \rightarrow 4 \text{ d.o.f.}$   
describes LH and RH spin  $1/2$  particle + antiparticle.

2.) Massive 4-vector  $D_{(1/2,1/2)}$  with  $(A_\mu) \rightarrow 4 \text{ d.o.f.}$   
 $j=0,1$

$A_0$  : spin 0

$\vec{A}$  : spin 1

the spin-0 d.o.f. in  $A_0$  doesn't lead to a physical field excitation because one requires  $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$  in all theories.

3.) Massive Rarita-Schwinger field  $\Psi^\mu$  describes 26  
spin  $3/2$  states but has  $4 \times 4 = 16$  d.o.f.

repr.:  $D_{(1,1/2)} \oplus D_{(1/2,1)} \oplus D_{(1/2,0)} \oplus D_{(0,1/2)}$

One requires the gauge cond.  $\Psi^\mu \gamma_\mu = 0$ , this removes the pure spinor parts  $D_{(1/2,0)} \oplus D_{(0,1/2)} = 4$  d.o.f. and one requires  $\partial_\mu \Psi^\mu = 0$ , which removes another 4 d.o.f.

$\Rightarrow$  8 d.o.f. remaining that describe spin- $3/2$  particle and anti-particle.

4.) Massive spin-2 field:  $D_{(1,1)}$  with  $H_{\mu\nu}$   
symm. traceless tensor  $\hat{=} 9$  d.o.f.  
Phys. theories where  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$  need

a gauge condition  $\partial_\mu H^{\mu\nu} = 0$ , where  $H_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} h$   
(Hilbert gauge) removes 4 d.o.f.

$\Rightarrow$  5 d.o.f. remain in  $H^{\mu\nu}$  and describe spin 2 state.

5.) discussion of massless spin 1, 2 states is tricky and requires discussion of the general Poincaré group (little group: translations  $\leftrightarrow$  mass label).

NOT DONE HERE.

27

FIN

29

Literature: • S. Weinberg 1995  
"QFT Vol. 1"

• H. Georgi, 1999  
"Lie Algebras in Particle Physics"